



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

| | | | |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO. |
|---------------|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------|

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) STEVE GANEY (EXAMINER) (3) WADE BARNETT (INVENTOR)
(2) CHARLES WOLFE, JR (ATTORNEY) (4) _____

Date of interview 3/7/03

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: HAND PORTABLE SPREADER

Agreement ☒ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed: ART OF RECORD

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: APPLICANT SUBMITTED PROPOSED AMENDMENT CONCERNING CLAIM 1. APPEARS TO OVERCOME THE ART OF RECORD. WILL REQUIRE FURTHER SEARCH AND CONSIDERATION. SEE ATTACHED PROPOSED AMENDMENT.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☐ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.


Examiner's Signature

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| In re patent application of |) | |
| |) | |
| Wade Charles BARNETT |) | Group Art Unit: 3752 |
| |) | |
| Serial No.: 09/819,812 |) | Examiner: Steven J. Ganey |
| |) | |
| Filing Date: March 29, 2001 |) | |
| |) | Atty. Dkt.: 103286-00101 |
| For: SALT SHOOTER |) | |

PROPOSED AMENDMENT

1. (Twice Amended) A hand-portable spreader for blowing [agglomerated] particles with an air stream for melting ice or snow, the spreader comprising:

a grip;

a storage chamber holding [agglomerated] particles which melt ice or snow to be spread;

an air blower affixed to the top of the storage chamber for generating an air stream that carries the [agglomerated] particles through an air conduit which has an orifice between the storage chamber and the air conduit;

a nozzle downstream of the orifice, from which the air stream and [agglomerated] particles shoots;

wherein a velocity of the air stream in the conduit is sufficient to carry the [agglomerated] particles from the orifice to the nozzle and be shot therefrom with the air stream for depositing the particles on ice or snow to be melted.